

HALL UNIVERSAL GROUP HAS AMPLE GENERIC AUTOMORPHISMS

SHICHANG SONG

ABSTRACT. We show that the class of finite groups with n automorphisms has the amalgamation property for every n . Consequently, the automorphism group of Philip Hall's universal locally finite group has ample generics, that is, it admits comeager diagonal conjugacy classes in all dimensions.

1. INTRODUCTION

A Polish group is a separable and completely metrizable topological group. The automorphism group of a countable structure equipped with the product topology is a Polish group. We say that a Polish group G has *ample generics* if for every n the diagonal conjugacy action of G on G^n by

$$g \cdot (h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n) = (gh_1g^{-1}, gh_2g^{-1}, \dots, gh_ng^{-1}),$$

where $g, h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n \in G$, has a comeager orbit. In [3], Hodges *et al.* first used the concept of ample generics as a tool to study the small index property for automorphism groups of some countable structures. Based on the work of Hodges *et al.* [3] and Truss [11], Kechris and Rosendal [5] characterized when automorphism groups of Fraïssé structures have ample generics. In [5], they also showed that if a Polish group G has ample generics, then G has the following consequences:

- (1) The group G has the small index property, that is, every subgroup of index less than $2^{\aleph_0}$ is open.
- (2) The group G is not the union of a countable chain of non-open subgroups.
- (3) Every algebraic homomorphism from G into a separable group is automatically continuous, which implies that G has a unique Polish group topology.

Now there is a growing extensive list of Polish groups that have ample generics. Just mention a few:

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 20D45; Secondary: 03E15, 20F28, 22A05.

- (1) The automorphism group of ω -stable ω -categorical structures (Hodges *et al.* [3]);
- (2) The automorphism group of the random graph (Hrushovski [4]; see also Hodges *et al.* [3]);
- (3) The isometry group of the rational Urysohn space (Solecki [10]);
- (4) The group of Lipschitz homeomorphisms of the Baire space (Kechris and Rosendal [5]);
- (5) The group of Haar measure-preserving homeomorphisms of the Cantor set (Kechris and Rosendal [5]);
- (6) The group of homeomorphisms of the Cantor set (Kwiatkowska [6]).

In this paper, we will add another group into this list, which is the automorphism group of a countable homogeneous structure that is not ω -categorical. Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *The automorphism group of Philip Hall's universal locally finite group has ample generics.*

We follow Kechris and Rosendal's work [5] to prove Theorem 1.1. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a class of finite L -structures in a fixed countable signature L . We say that $\mathcal{K}$ has the *hereditary property* (HP) if $\mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathbf{A}$ embeddable in $\mathbf{B}$ then $\mathbf{A}$ is isomorphic to some structure in $\mathcal{K}$. We say that $\mathcal{K}$ has the *joint embedding property* (JEP) if $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{K}$, then there is $\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{K}$ such that both $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are embeddable in $\mathbf{C}$. We say that $\mathcal{K}$ has the *amalgamation property* (AP) if $f: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ and $g: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, with $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{K}$, are embeddings, then there are $\mathbf{D} \in \mathcal{K}$ and embeddings $r: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ and $s: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ with $r \circ f = s \circ g$. If $\mathcal{K}$ satisfies the HP, JEP, AP, contains only countably many structures up to isomorphism, and contains structures of arbitrarily large finite cardinality, we call $\mathcal{K}$ a *Fraïssé class*. For every Fraïssé class $\mathcal{K}$, the classic Fraïssé's theorem tells us that there is the unique (up to isomorphism) countably infinite L -structure $\mathbf{K}$ such that (i) $\mathbf{K}$ is locally finite, (ii) $\mathbf{K}$ is ultrahomogeneous, that is, every isomorphism between finite substructures of $\mathbf{K}$ extends to an automorphism of $\mathbf{K}$, (iii) $\text{Age}(\mathbf{K}) = \mathcal{K}$, where $\text{Age}(\mathbf{K})$ is the class of all finite L -structures that can be embedded into $\mathbf{K}$. We call such $\mathbf{K}$ the *Fraïssé limit* of $\mathcal{K}$. A countably infinite structure $\mathbf{K}$ is a *Fraïssé structure* if it is locally finite and ultrahomogeneous. For more background on Fraïssé classes and Fraïssé limits, see Hodges [2, Chapter 7].

For every Fraïssé class $\mathcal{K}$, Truss [11] introduced the class $\mathcal{K}_p$ of all systems $\mathcal{S} = \langle \mathbf{A}, \psi: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \rangle$, where $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{K}$, $\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C} \subseteq \mathbf{A}$, and ψ is an isomorphism between $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{C}$. In the notation, p is short for partial isomorphisms. The notion of embedding in $\mathcal{K}_p$ is defined as

follows: an *embedding* of $\mathcal{S}$ into $\mathcal{T} = \langle \mathbf{D}, \varphi: \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{F} \rangle$ is an embedding $f: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ such that $f(\mathbf{B}) \subseteq \mathbf{E}$, $f(\mathbf{C}) \subseteq \mathbf{F}$, and $f \circ \psi \subseteq \varphi \circ f$. With this notion of embedding, we may say that $\mathcal{K}_p$ satisfies the JEP or AP. Similarly, let $\mathcal{K}_p^n$ denote the class of all systems $\mathcal{S} = \langle \mathbf{A}, \psi_1: \mathbf{B}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_1, \dots, \psi_n: \mathbf{B}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_n \rangle$, where $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}_i, \mathbf{C}_i \in \mathcal{K}$, $\mathbf{B}_i, \mathbf{C}_i \subseteq \mathbf{A}$, and ψ_i is an isomorphism between $\mathbf{B}_i$ and $\mathbf{C}_i$ for each i . Accordingly, the notion of embedding in $\mathcal{K}_p^n$ is defined.

Let $\mathbf{K}$ be the Fraïssé limit of a Fraïssé class $\mathcal{K}$. Kechris and Rosendal ([5, Theorem 6.2]) proved that $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{K})$ has ample generics if and only if $\mathcal{K}_p^n$ has the JEP and the weak amalgamation property (WAP) for every n , which generalizes Truss's result. Truss [11] showed that if for every n the class $\mathcal{K}_p^n$ has the JEP and the cofinal amalgamation property (CAP), that is, it has a cofinal subclass which satisfies the AP, then $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{K})$ has ample generics. We say that a Fraïssé class has the *Hrushovski property* if every system $\mathcal{S} = \langle \mathbf{A}, \psi_1: \mathbf{B}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_1, \dots, \psi_n: \mathbf{B}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_n \rangle \in \mathcal{K}_p^n$ can be extended to some system $\mathcal{T} = \langle \mathbf{D}, \varphi_1: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}, \dots, \varphi_n: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{D} \rangle \in \mathcal{K}_p^n$. Let $\mathcal{L}^n$ denote the class of all systems $\langle \mathbf{D}, \varphi_1: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}, \dots, \varphi_n: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{D} \rangle \in \mathcal{K}_p^n$. If the Fraïssé class $\mathcal{K}$ has the Hrushovski property, then the subclass $\mathcal{L}^n$ of $\mathcal{K}_p^n$ is cofinal. Further, if $\mathcal{L}^n$ has the AP, then $\mathcal{K}_p^n$ has the CAP. Usually, the JEP for $\mathcal{K}_p^n$ is straightforward. It follows that $\mathbf{K}$ has ample generic automorphisms. Hrushovski [4] originally showed that the class of finite graphs has the Hrushovski property, and thus the automorphism group of the random graph has ample generics. Solecki [10], independently Vershik, showed that the class of finite metric spaces with rational distances has the Hrushovski property, and thus the isometry group of the rational Urysohn space has ample generics. In those two cases, it is not hard to verify that $\mathcal{L}^n$ has the AP.

Philip Hall [1] constructed a countably infinite locally finite group $\mathbb{H}$ which is determined up to isomorphism by the following two properties: (i) every finite group can be embedded in $\mathbb{H}$; (ii) any two isomorphic finite subgroups of $\mathbb{H}$ are conjugate in $\mathbb{H}$. The group $\mathbb{H}$ is known as Philip Hall's universal locally finite group. Throughout this paper, let $\mathcal{FG}$ be the class of finite groups. The class $\mathcal{FG}$ is a Fraïssé class and its Fraïssé limit is $\mathbb{H}$. Hall's construction is totally different from Fraïssé's construction, while Hall's construction relies on [1, Lemma 1], which implies the Hrushovski property for the class $\mathcal{FG}$. Thus, in order to show that $\mathbb{H}$ has ample generic automorphisms, we need only to show that the subclass $\mathcal{L}^n$, which is the class of finite groups with n automorphisms, has the AP.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we show that the class of finite groups with n automorphisms has the amalgamation

property for every n . In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1 stating that $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{H})$ has ample generics.

2. THE AMALGAMATION PROPERTY

Let $\mathcal{FG}$ be the class of finite groups. For every n , let $\mathcal{L}^n$ denote the class of all systems $\langle G, \varphi_1: G \rightarrow G, \dots, \varphi_n: G \rightarrow G \rangle$, where G is a finite group, and $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ are automorphisms of G . Let $\mathcal{A} = \langle A, \alpha_1: A \rightarrow A, \dots, \alpha_n: A \rightarrow A \rangle$ and $\mathcal{B} = \langle B, \beta_1: B \rightarrow B, \dots, \beta_n: B \rightarrow B \rangle$ be two systems in $\mathcal{L}^n$. We define the notion of embedding in $\mathcal{L}^n$ as follows: an *embedding* of $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathcal{B}$ is an embedding $f: A \rightarrow B$ between groups such that $f \circ \alpha_i = \beta_i \circ f$ and $g \circ \alpha_i = \gamma_i \circ g$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let us abuse notation and denote this embedding also by f . In this section, we prove that the class $\mathcal{L}^n$ has the amalgamation property, that is, if $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and $g: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, with $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{L}^n$, are embeddings, there are $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{L}^n$ and embeddings $r: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $s: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ with $r \circ f = s \circ g$.

In the case $n = 0$, there is a classic result:

Theorem 2.1 ([8]). *The class of finite groups has the amalgamation property.*

Proof. The proof is in Section 3 of [8]. □

In the case $n > 0$, we have:

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{L}^n$ denote the class of all systems $\langle G, \varphi_1: G \rightarrow G, \dots, \varphi_n: G \rightarrow G \rangle$ for every n , where G is a finite group, and $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ are automorphisms of G . Then the class $\mathcal{L}^n$ has the amalgamation property.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A} = \langle A, \alpha_1: A \rightarrow A, \dots, \alpha_n: A \rightarrow A \rangle$, $\mathcal{B} = \langle B, \beta_1: B \rightarrow B, \dots, \beta_n: B \rightarrow B \rangle$, and $\mathcal{C} = \langle C, \gamma_1: C \rightarrow C, \dots, \gamma_n: C \rightarrow C \rangle$, where A, B, C are finite groups and $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i$ are automorphisms for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and $g: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be embeddings in $\mathcal{L}^n$ satisfying that $f: A \rightarrow B$ and $g: A \rightarrow C$ are embeddings between groups such that $f \circ \alpha_i = \beta_i \circ f$ and $g \circ \alpha_i = \gamma_i \circ g$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let $X = \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \rangle$, $Y = \langle \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \rangle$, and $Z = \langle \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \rangle$. Because A, B, C are finite groups, we have that $X \leq \text{Aut}(A)$, $Y \leq \text{Aut}(B)$, $Z \leq \text{Aut}(C)$ are of finite order.

Claim: There exist surjective homomorphisms $\varphi: Y \rightarrow X$ and $\psi: Z \rightarrow X$ such that $\varphi(\beta_i) = \alpha_i$ and $\psi(\gamma_i) = \alpha_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Moreover, for all $y \in Y$ and $z \in Z$, we have that $f \circ \varphi(y) = y \circ f$ and $g \circ \psi(z) = z \circ g$.

Proof of the claim: To make things easier, we assume that A is a subset of B and f is simply the inclusion map. It follows from $f \circ \alpha_i = \beta_i \circ f$ that $\beta_i \upharpoonright A = \alpha_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Thus, β_i fixes A setwise for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Since $Y = \langle \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \rangle$, we have that y fixes A setwise for all $y \in Y$. We define $\varphi(y) = y \upharpoonright A$ for all $y \in Y$. Clearly, $\varphi(y) \in \text{Aut}(A)$, and $\varphi(\beta_i) = \beta_i \upharpoonright A = \alpha_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Since $X = \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \rangle$, we have that φ is a surjective homomorphism from Y to X . Moreover, $f \circ \varphi(y) = y \circ f$ for all $y \in Y$, because f is the inclusion map and $\varphi(y) = y \upharpoonright A$. The case for ψ is similar. This completes the proof of the claim.

Let $W = Y \times_X Z$ be the fiber product of Y and Z over X , which is the following subgroup of $Y \times Z$

$$W = Y \times_X Z = \{(y, z) \in Y \times Z \mid \varphi(y) = \psi(z)\}.$$

Clearly, W is finite and the projection homomorphisms are surjectively onto Y and Z . Then we define a semidirect product $A \rtimes W = \{(a, (y, z)) \mid a \in A, (y, z) \in W\}$. The multiplication is defined as

$$(a_1, (y_1, z_1)) * (a_2, (y_2, z_2)) = (a_1 \varphi(y_1)(a_2), (y_1 y_2, z_1 z_2)),$$

where $a_1, a_2 \in A$, and $(y_1, z_1), (y_2, z_2) \in W$. Note that $\varphi(y_1) = \psi(z_1)$ since $(y_1, z_1) \in W$. Let L denote $A \rtimes W$. Similarly, we define $M = B \rtimes W$ and $N = C \rtimes W$. For all $b_1, b_2 \in B$, $c_1, c_2 \in C$, and $(y_1, z_1), (y_2, z_2) \in W$, we define

$$(b_1, (y_1, z_1)) * (b_2, (y_2, z_2)) = (b_1 y_1(b_2), (y_1 y_2, z_1 z_2)),$$

and

$$(c_1, (y_1, z_1)) * (c_2, (y_2, z_2)) = (c_1 z_1(c_2), (y_1 y_2, z_1 z_2)).$$

Clearly, L, M, N are finite groups. Next, we define $\tilde{f}: L \rightarrow M$ by sending $(a, (y, z))$ to $(f(a), (y, z))$ for all $a \in A$ and $(y, z) \in W$. It is easy to verify that $\tilde{f}$ is well-defined and $\tilde{f}(id_L) = id_M$. For all $(a_1, (y_1, z_1)), (a_2, (y_2, z_2)) \in L$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}((a_1, (y_1, z_1)) * (a_2, (y_2, z_2))) &= \tilde{f}(a_1 \varphi(y_1)(a_2), (y_1 y_2, z_1 z_2)) \\ &= (f(a_1 \varphi(y_1)(a_2)), (y_1 y_2, z_1 z_2)) \\ &= (f(a_1) f(\varphi(y_1)(a_2)), (y_1 y_2, z_1 z_2)). \end{aligned}$$

By the claim, $f \circ \varphi(y) = y \circ f$ for all $y \in Y = \langle \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \rangle$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}((a_1, (y_1, z_1)) * (a_2, (y_2, z_2))) &= (f(a_1)f(\varphi(y_1)(a_2)), (y_1y_2, z_1z_2)) \\ &= (f(a_1)y_1(f(a_2)), (y_1y_2, z_1z_2)) \\ &= (f(a_1), (y_1, z_1)) * (f(a_2), (y_2, z_2)) \\ &= \tilde{f}(a_1, (y_1, z_1)) * \tilde{f}(a_2, (y_2, z_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\tilde{f}$ is a homomorphism from L to M . Similarly, we define a homomorphism $\tilde{g}: L \rightarrow N$ by sending $(a, (y, z))$ to $(g(a), (y, z))$ for all $a \in A$ and $(y, z) \in W$. Because f and g are embeddings, it follows that $\tilde{f}$ and $\tilde{g}$ are also embeddings. By Theorem 2.1, the class of finite groups has the AP. Thus there exist a finite group K and embeddings $\tilde{s}: M \rightarrow K$ and $\tilde{t}: N \rightarrow K$ such that $\tilde{s} \circ \tilde{f} = \tilde{t} \circ \tilde{g}$. Let $D = \langle \tilde{s}((B, id_W)), \tilde{t}((C, id_W)) \rangle$. Clearly, D is a finite subgroup of K . Let $\tilde{\delta}_i$ denote $(\tilde{s} \circ \tilde{f})((1, (\beta_i, \gamma_i))) \in K$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. By the fact that $\tilde{s} \circ \tilde{f} = \tilde{t} \circ \tilde{g}$ and definitions of $\tilde{f}$ and $\tilde{g}$, it follows that for $i = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\delta}_i &= (\tilde{s} \circ \tilde{f})((1, (\beta_i, \gamma_i))) = (\tilde{t} \circ \tilde{g})((1, (\beta_i, \gamma_i))) \\ &= \tilde{s}((1, (\beta_i, \gamma_i))) = \tilde{t}((1, (\beta_i, \gamma_i))). \end{aligned}$$

For all $b \in B$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\delta}_i \tilde{s}((b, id_W)) \tilde{\delta}_i^{-1} &= \tilde{s}((1, (\beta_i, \gamma_i))) \tilde{s}((b, id_W)) \tilde{s}((1, (\beta_i^{-1}, \gamma_i^{-1}))) \\ &= \tilde{s}((1, (\beta_i, \gamma_i)) * (b, id_W) * (1, (\beta_i^{-1}, \gamma_i^{-1}))) \\ &= \tilde{s}((1 \cdot \beta_i(b), (\beta_i, \gamma_i)) * (1, (\beta_i^{-1}, \gamma_i^{-1}))) \\ (1) \quad &= \tilde{s}((\beta_i(b), id_W)) \in D. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for all $c \in C$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$, we have that $\tilde{\delta}_i \tilde{t}((c, id_W)) \tilde{\delta}_i^{-1} = \tilde{t}((\gamma_i(c), id_W)) \in D$. Hence, $\tilde{\delta}_i D \tilde{\delta}_i^{-1} \subseteq D$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and thus $\tilde{\delta}_i D \tilde{\delta}_i^{-1} = D$ because D is finite. Then we define $\delta_i: D \rightarrow D$ by sending d to $\tilde{\delta}_i d \tilde{\delta}_i^{-1}$ for all $d \in D$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$. Clearly, δ_i is an automorphism of D for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let $\mathcal{D} = \langle D, \delta_1: D \rightarrow D, \dots, \delta_n: D \rightarrow D \rangle$. We define $s: B \rightarrow D$ by $s(b) = \tilde{s}((b, id_W))$ for all $b \in B$, and define $t: C \rightarrow D$ by $t(c) = \tilde{t}((c, id_W))$ for all $c \in C$. Then it is easy to verify that s and t are embeddings between groups. For all $b \in B$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$, we have that $(\delta_i \circ s)(b) = \delta_i(s(b)) = \delta_i(\tilde{s}((b, id_W))) = \tilde{\delta}_i \tilde{s}((b, id_W)) \tilde{\delta}_i^{-1}$. By Equation (1), we have that $(\delta_i \circ s)(b) = \tilde{s}((\beta_i(b), id_W)) = s(\beta_i(b))$, and thus $\delta_i \circ s = s \circ \beta_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Similarly, we have $\delta_i \circ t = t \circ \gamma_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Hence,

$s: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $t: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ are embeddings in $\mathcal{L}^n$. Then by the fact that $\tilde{s} \circ \tilde{f} = \tilde{t} \circ \tilde{g}$, it follows that $s \circ f = t \circ g$. Therefore, $\mathcal{L}^n$ has the AP. $\square$

3. SOME PROPERTIES OF $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{H})$

In this section, we will discuss some properties of Philip Hall's universal locally finite group $\mathbb{H}$ and its automorphism group $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{H})$. In particular, we show that $\mathbb{H}$ has ample generic automorphisms.

Clearly, the class $\mathcal{FG}$ contains countably many isomorphism types, and contains finite groups of arbitrary size. Also, it is easy to verify that $\mathcal{FG}$ has the HP and JEP. By Theorem 2.1, $\mathcal{FG}$ has the AP. Thus, $\mathcal{FG}$ is a Fraïssé class. The Fraïssé limit of $\mathcal{FG}$ is Philip Hall's universal locally finite group $\mathbb{H}$. For $\mathbb{H}$, we have the following properties:

- Fact 3.1.** (1) *The group $\mathbb{H}$ is simple.*
 (2) *Every finite group can be embedded in $\mathbb{H}$.*
 (3) *Any two isomorphic finite subgroups of $\mathbb{H}$ are conjugate in $\mathbb{H}$.*
 (4) *The theory $\text{Th}(\mathbb{H})$ is not ω -categorical.*

Proof. (1)–(3) are proved in [1].

(4) Since every finite group can be embedded in $\mathbb{H}$, we have that $\mathbb{H}$ has elements of arbitrary finite orders. Hence, $S_1(\text{Th}(\mathbb{H}))$ is infinite. By the Ryll-Nardzewski Theorem, $\text{Th}(\mathbb{H})$ is not ω -categorical. $\square$

Philip Hall's construction of $\mathbb{H}$ is different from Fraïssé's construction. Hall's construction relies on some technical lemmas. One of them, say [1, Lemma 1], implies the following Hrushovski property for the class of finite groups.

Theorem 3.2 ((Hrushovski property)). *Let K be a subgroup of a finite group G , and $\iota: k \mapsto k^\iota$ be an isomorphism from the group K onto some subgroup K^ι of G . Then we can embed G as a subgroup of some finite group H , and find some $h \in H$ such that conjugation by h sends every $k \in K$ to $k^h = k^\iota \in K^\iota$.* $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1: By Theorem 3.2, the Fraïssé class $\mathcal{FG}$ satisfies the Hrushovski property. Let $\mathcal{L}^n$ denote the class of all systems $\langle G, \varphi_1: G \rightarrow G, \dots, \varphi_n: G \rightarrow G \rangle$ for every n , where G is a finite group, and $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ are automorphisms of G . Then $\mathcal{L}^n$ is cofinal in $\mathcal{FG}_p^n$. By Theorem 2.2, $\mathcal{FG}_p^n$ has the CAP, and thus has the WAP. Using direct products, it is easy to verify that $\mathcal{FG}_p^n$ has the JEP. By [5, Theorem 6.2], $\mathbb{H}$ has ample generic automorphisms. $\square$

Following Kechris and Rosendal [5], we have the following consequences:

Corollary 3.3. (1) *The group $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{H})$ has the small index property, that is, every subgroup of index less than $2^{\aleph_0}$ is open.*
 (2) *The group $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{H})$ is not the union of a countable chain of non-open subgroups.*
 (3) *Every algebraic homomorphism from $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{H})$ into a separable group is automatically continuous, which implies that $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{H})$ has a unique Polish group topology.*

□

Proposition 3.4. (1) *The locally finite subgroup $\text{Inn}(\mathbb{H}) \cong \mathbb{H}$ is dense in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{H})$.*
 (2) *The group $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{H})$ is not simple.*

Proof. (1) Since any two isomorphic finite subgroups of $\mathbb{H}$ are conjugate in $\mathbb{H}$, we have that $\text{Inn}(\mathbb{H})$ and $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{H})$ have the same orbits acting on $\mathbb{H}^n$. By [2, Lemma 4.1.5(d)], $\text{Inn}(\mathbb{H})$ is dense in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{H})$.

(2) Clearly, $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{H})$ is uncountable. Since $\mathbb{H} \cong \text{Inn}(\mathbb{H})$ is countable, $\text{Inn}(\mathbb{H})$ is a nontrivial normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{H})$, and thus $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{H})$ is not simple. □

Remark 3.5. (1) Kechris and Rosendal [5] showed that for a locally finite Fraïssé structure $\mathbf{K}$ if $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{K})$ has a dense locally finite subgroup, then $\text{Age}(\mathbf{K})$ has the Hrushovski property. Thus, Proposition 3.4(i) provides another way to show that $\mathcal{FG}$ has the Hrushovski property.
 (2) Macpherson and Tent [7] gave a sufficient condition for automorphism groups of countable homogeneous structures to be simple. Note that $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{H})$ does not satisfy their sufficient condition. Specifically, $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{H})$ is not transitive on $\mathbb{H}$ since $\mathbb{H}$ has elements of different orders. Although $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{H})$ is not a simple group, by a result of Burnside in group theory (see [9, Theorem 13.5.10]), since $\mathbb{H}$ is a nonabelian simple group, $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{H})$ is a complete group, that is, $Z(\text{Aut}(\mathbb{H})) = 1$, and $\text{Aut}(\text{Aut}(\mathbb{H})) = \text{Inn}(\text{Aut}(\mathbb{H})) \cong \text{Aut}(\mathbb{H})$.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Everett C. Dade for giving me his proof of Theorem 3.2 during the ASL 2015 Annual North American meeting in Urbana, IL. I am also grateful to Su Gao and Christian Rosendal for conversations and comments regarding the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Hall, ‘Some constructions for locally finite groups’, *J. London Math. Soc.* (3) 34 (1959) 305–319.
- [2] W. Hodges, *Model theory* (Cambridge University Press, 1993).

- [3] W. Hodges, I. Hodkinson, D. Lascar and S. Shelah, ‘The small index property for ω -stable ω -categorical structures and for the random graph’, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 48 (1993) 204–218.
- [4] E. Hrushovski, ‘Extending partial isomorphisms of graphs’, *Combinatorica* 12 (1992) 411–416.
- [5] A. S. Kechris and C. Rosendal, ‘Turbulence, amalgamation, and generic automorphisms of homogeneous structures’, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 94 (2007) 302–350.
- [6] A. Kwiatkowska, ‘The group of homeomorphisms of the Cantor set has ample generics’, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 44 (2012) 1132–1146.
- [7] H. D. Macpherson and K. Tent, ‘Simplicity of some automorphism groups’, *J. Algebra* (1) 342 (2011) 40–52.
- [8] B. H. Neumann, ‘Permutational products of groups’, *J. Aust. Math. Soc. Ser. A* 1 (1959) 299–310.
- [9] D. J. S. Robinson, *A course in the theory of groups* (Springer, New York, 1996).
- [10] S. Solecki, ‘Extending partial isometries’, *Israel J. Math.* 150 (2005) 315–331.
- [11] J. K. Truss, ‘Generic automorphisms of homogeneous structures’, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 65 (1992) 121–141.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SCHOOL OF SCIENCES, BEIJING JIAOTONG
UNIVERSITY, 3 SHANGYUANCUN, BEIJING 100044, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: ssong@bjtu.edu.cn